Prevalence of Mandibular Retromolar Canal on Cone Beam Computed Tomography Scans
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Abstract

Background and Aim: The retromolar canal is an anatomical structure in the mandible and a type of bifid inferior alveolar canal. The retromolar canal may provide accessory innervation to the mandibular molars or contain an aberrant buccal nerve; thus, this canal is of clinical significance. The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of retromolar canal on cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) scans.

Materials and Methods: In this descriptive-analytical study, 151 inferior alveolar canals in 102 patients (102 unilateral and 49 bilateral) with third molar teeth requiring CBCT scans were evaluated. The scans were evaluated for presence of the retromolar canal and linear measurements (distance to second molar, height and width) were made. The data were analyzed paired t-test and chi square test.

Results: The prevalence of retromolar canal was 7.3% (n=11). With regard to linear measurements, the mean distance from the retromolar canal to the second molar was 12.76±4.3mm. The mean height of the canal was 6.66±2.18mm, and the mean width was 1.7±0.6mm. The presence of retromolar canal was not statistically correlated with sex, side of the jaw or age (p=0.146).

Conclusion: The prevalence of retromolar canal on CBCT scans was 7.3%.
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Introduction

Retromolar canal is an anatomical structure in the mandible and a type of bifid inferior alveolar canal. It is branched from the mandibular canal behind the third molar tooth and runs towards the retromolar foramen in the retromolar fossa. Retromolar canal may provide accessory blood supply for the mandibular molars or contain an aberrant buccal nerve. Thus, it is particularly important in mandibular surgeries because inadequate depth of anesthesia may be related to a type of bifid canal. Also, since this canal contains neurovascular bundles, complications such as traumatic neuroma, paresthesia and bleeding may occur if the canal is traumatized. Moreover, in case of severe mandibular bone resorption the mental foramen may be compressed under mandibular prosthesis and cause discomfort for the patient due to nerve compression [1,2].

The inferior alveolar nerve block is the most important and most commonly administered nerve block in dental treatments. However, it is
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associated with high risk of failure even in case of proper injection (approximately 15-20%). Failure of the inferior alveolar nerve block may be due to the high density of alveolar cortical plate, limited access to the inferior alveolar nerve, anatomical variations in this area and presence of accessory innervation adjacent to the mandibular incisors [3]. Also, a theory suggests that accessory innervation is a major cause of failure of inferior alveolar nerve blocks [4,5].

Retromolar foramen is an undetectable anatomical variation of the mandible [6]. The mandibular canal is responsible for the blood supply of the posterior alveolar processes including the mandibular molars and may even contain an aberrant buccal nerve. In case of failure of inferior alveolar nerve block, possible innervation via the retromolar canal should be considered [7].

Von Arx et al, radiographically evaluated the presence of retromolar canal on CBCT scans and panoramic radiographs and reported its prevalence to be 25.6% on CBCT scans and 5.8% on panoramic radiographs [7]. Singh et al. assessed the prevalence of retromolar canal on panoramic radiographs and reported the prevalence of bifid canal to be 4.3%. The prevalence of double canal was reported to be 4%. The highest prevalence of these variations was reported to be at the beginning of the canal path [2].

Dentists must have adequate knowledge and be well aware of the possible anatomical variations during anesthesia administration and implant surgery. Considering the scarcity of available information on presence of retromolar canal or foramen in anatomical textbooks, this study sought to assess the prevalence of retromolar canal and foramen on CBCT scans.

Materials and Methods
This descriptive analytical study was conducted on 102 patients including 50 males and 52 females with a mean age of 34.2 years who had fully erupted mandibular third molar teeth. Although the inferior alveolar canals are located bilaterally in the mandible, a total of 151 inferior alveolar canals adjacent to third molars were evaluated. Patients without third molar teeth, those with a history of mandibular trauma and subjects with distoangular and horizontal third molars were not included.

The prevalence of retromolar canal, the horizontal distance from the canal to the distal surface of second molar tooth, canal height, canal width 3mm below the retromolar foramen and the prevalence of different types according to von Arx et al. were all evaluated [7] (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** Different types of the retromolar canal

- A1: Vertical retromolar canal
- A2: Vertical retromolar canal with an additional horizontal branch
- B1: Curved retromolar canal
- B2: Curved retromolar canal with an additional horizontal branch
- C: Horizontal retromolar canal [7]

The CBCT scans were viewed by an oral and maxillofacial radiologist and the horizontal distance from the mesial of retromolar foramen to the cementoenamel junction at the distal surface of the second molar, the vertical distance from the retromolar canal to the superior border of the inferior alveolar canal and width of the retromolar canal three millimeters beneath the mesial aspect of the retromolar foramen were all measured. (Figure 2)

The mean of linear measurements of the retromolar canal in the right and left sides were analyzed by paired sample t-test. Chi square test was used to analyze the frequency of measurements with regard to the retromolar canal. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the relationship between linear measurements and age. Statistical analysis of the data was carried out using SPSS version 20. Level of significance was set at p=0.05.

Results
Of 151 inferior alveolar nerve canals evaluated in this study, retromolar canal was found in 11 cases.
The frequency of retromolar canal was not significantly different based on sex and side of involvement (p=0.146). No significant difference was noted in the prevalence of retromolar canal in the right and left sides (p=0.763).

Table 1 shows the mean distance from the retromolar canal to the distal of the third molar tooth, the mean height of the canal and width of the retromolar canal 3mm below the retromolar foramen. According to t-test, no significant difference existed in the horizontal distance of the retromolar canal (p=0.57), height of retromolar canal (p=0.63) and width of retromolar canal (p=0.72) in the right and left sides. No significant association was noted between sex and horizontal distance of the retromolar canal (p=0.44) or width of retromolar canal (p=0.33). However, a significant association existed between the height of retromolar canal and sex (p=0.02) (Table 2).

According to the Pearson’s correlation test, no significant association existed between age group and horizontal distance of retromolar canal (p=0.62), height of retromolar canal (p=0.84) and width of retromolar canal (p=0.27). Also, the Pearson’s correlation test showed an inverse correlation between age group and horizontal distance of retromolar canal (r=-0.57) and vertical distance of retromolar canal (r=-0.06).

A significant correlation was found between age group and width of retromolar canal as well (r=0.36) (Table 3, Figures 3-7). Table 4 shows the frequency of different types of retromolar canal. B2 type was not seen on any CBCT scan.
Discussion
This study aimed to compare the fracture 
Based on the results, the prevalence of retromolar 
channel was 7.3% (11 cases). The prevalence of 
retromolar canal on CBCT scans has reported to be 
6.1 to 72% in previous studies [6,8,9]. Von Arx et 
al. reported the prevalence of retromolar canal to 
be 25.6% [7]. This rate was reported to be 10.2% 
by Kang et al, in Korea [10], 21.9% by Narayana 
et al, in India [9], 0.9% by Naitoh et al, [11], 4.3% 
by Singh et al, [2] and 35% by Sanchis et al [12]. 
Such variability in results may be due to racial, 
ethnic and geographical differences. 
In our study, of 49 CBCT scans on which, inferior 
alveolar canals were visible bilaterally, only two 
cases had bilateral retromolar canals; six other 
cases had unilateral retromolar canals. In a study 
by von Arx et al, [7] on 21 bilateral mandibular 
canals, four cases of bilateral retromolar canals 
were found. Priya et al. evaluated 157 dry 
mandibles and reported the prevalence of bilateral 
retromolar canals to be 5.1% [13]. 
Based on our results and those of von Arx et al, [7] 
the prevalence of retromolar canal in females was 
higher than in males but Ossenberg et al, [6] and 
Pyle et al, [14] reported that the prevalence of 
retromolar canal was higher in males. Type A1 had 
the highest prevalence (41%) in the study by von 
Arx et al; while in our study, type C had the 
highest prevalence (45.5%). B2 type was not found 
in any case. In the study by von Arx et al, type C 
was not seen at all [7]. 
The mean distance from the mesial surface of 
retromolar foramen to the cementoenamel junction 
at the distal surface of second molar was 15.16mm 
in the study by von Arx, which was 3mm greater 
than the value obtained in our study (12.76mm). 
This difference may be related to the reference 
point of measurement. In the study by von Arx et 
al, the distance from the midpoint of retromolar 
foramen was measured while in our study, the 
distance from the mesial surface of foramen was 
recorded. Also, in the study by von Arx et al, 
presence of third molars was not an inclusion 
criterion [7]. However, third molar extraction can 
result in misplacement of second molar and affect 
the afore-mentioned horizontal distance. 
Our results showed no significant association 
between age and horizontal distance of retromolar 
canal. Based on the Pearson’s correlation test, an 
inverse correlation existed between age and 
horizontal distance. The right/left side and sex had 
no significant association with horizontal distance 
of retromolar canal. This result was in agreement 
with that of Bilecenoglu and Tuncer [15]. They 
evaluated the skulls and reported the mean 
horizontal distance to be 11.9mm. 
The mean height of the retromolar canal from the 
midpoint of the retromolar foramen to the superior 
border of the inferior alveolar canal in our study 
was 6.66mm; however, in the study by von Arx et 
al, this value was reported to be 11.34mm. Such a 
difference in the results of the two studies may be 
attributed to the reference point of measurement 
and higher prevalence of retromolar canal (31 
cases) in their study [7]. Moreover, in our study, 
the mean height of retromolar canal had no 
significant association with sex while in the study 
by von Arz et al, males had a higher mean height.
In our study, this value was higher in females. But this does not mean that women had a greater height of mandible in the retro molar area because the retro molar canal length is defined as the distance between the mandibular canal and retro molar foramen. Thus, canal length depends on the position of retromolar foramen. In our study, height of retromolar canal had an inverse correlation with age. No significant correlation was found between the retromolar canal height and side of the mandible.

### Table 1. The mean value of linear measurements of retromolar canal in millimeters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Minimum length (mm)</th>
<th>Maximum length (mm)</th>
<th>Mean± standard deviation (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal distance</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>12.7± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>6.6± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.7± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. The mean value of linear measurements of retromolar canal in the right and left sides in males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean± standard deviation (mm)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Mean± standard deviation (mm)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal distance of retromolar canal</td>
<td>Right 13.4± 3.3, Left 11.9± 5.5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>Females 11.3± 1.8, Males 13.5± 5.2</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of retromolar canal</td>
<td>6.9± 2.8, 6.3± 1.3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>7.7± 1.7, 4.8± 1.7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of retromolar canal</td>
<td>1.7± 0.71, 1.6± 0.51</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.9± 0.64, 1.5± 0.57</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. The relationship of age group and linear measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear measurements</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Pearson’s correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal distance of retromolar canal</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of retromolar canal</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of retromolar canal</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>+0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. The frequency of different types of retromolar canal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

Based on the results, the prevalence of retromolar canal was 7.3% on CBCT scans and horizontal and vertical distances and width of retromolar canal had no significant association with the side of the mandible. Horizontal distance and width of retromolar canal had no significant association with sex and the height of the retromolar canal was greater in females than in males.
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