Evaluation of Shear Bond Strength of Composite to Feldspathic Porcelain after Porcelain Surface Treatment with CO₂ and Er:YAG lasers

M. Shirinzad¹, R. Fekrazad², L. Rezaei Soufi³, E. Yarmohammadi⁴, SH. Mahmoudi⁴.

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Operative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Hamadan University of Medical Science. Hamadan, Iran

²Associate Professor, School of Dentistry, AJA University of Medical Sciences and Member of Laser in Dentistry Research Center, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Member of Dental Research Center and Associated Professor, Operative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Hamadan University of Medical Science. Hamadan, Iran

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Operative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Jundi Shapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahwaz, Iran

Abstract

Background and Aim: Fractured ceramic crowns can sometimes be repaired with composite resin. The aim of the current study was to determine the shear bond strength of composite to feldspathic porcelain after CO_2 and Er:YAG laser porcelain surface preparation.

Materials and Methods: In this in-vitro study, 36 feldspathic porcelain blocksmeasuring 1*10*10 mm were divided into 3 groups of 12. Porcelain surfacesinthe first and second groupswere treated with 1.8W CO₂ laser and 5W Er:YAG laserirradiation, respectively. Third group specimens were subjected to 9.5% hydrofluoric acid surface conditioning. Allgroups received application ofsilane and adhesiveafterwards. A composite cylinder with 3.5 mm diameter and 5 mm height was bonded to specimens. In order to evaluate the shear bond strength, aUniversal Testing Machine withacrosshead speed of 1 mm/min was used. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: The mean shear bond strength values (MPa) were $13.03\pm2.57\%$, 12.02 ± 3.4 and 19.23 ± 4.62 , for the first, second and third groups respectively. One-way ANOVA revealed a statistically significant difference in this respect between the three groups (P< 0.001).

Tukey's HSD testdemonstrated significant differences between the first and third groups (P=0.000) as well as the second and third groups (P=0.000). However, no significant difference was detected between the first and second groups (P=0.778).

Conclusion: Considering the study results, CO₂or Er:YAG laser irradiationis not suggested as an appropriate alternative to hydrofluoric acid for surface preparation offelds pathic porcelain.

Key Words: Dental porcelain , laser , hydrofluoric acid , shear strength

Journal of Islamic Dental Association of IRAN (JIDAI) Summer 2013 ;25, (2)

Introduction

Received: 2 May 2012

Accepted: 16 Aug 2012

Corresponding author:

E. Yarmohammadi, Assistant

Professor, Department of Opera-

tive Dentistry, School of Dentis-

try, Jundi Shapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahwaz, Iran e.yarmohammadi@umsha.ac.ir

Demandfor esthetic dental treatmentsisrapidly growing. In a research by Goldstein about the principles of facial beauty, itwasstated thatsmile ranks second after eyes as the most important parameter of facial beautyand dentistsplay an importantrole in correcting the smile [1]. Among all esthetic dental treatments,full porcelain crownscan greatly contribute to patients' estheticsdue totheir excellent biocompatibility andhigh translucency [2]. Insufficient aesthetics or translucency, inadequate porcelain-metalbondandtotally different physical properties of porcelain and metalin porcelain fused to metal crownsall led to the currently growing popularity of full ceramic crowns [3-6].

In 1980, introduction f enamel etching with phosphoric acid and ceramicetching with hydrofluoric acidtechniquesled to the application of resin cements for bonding of ceramic to enamel [7]. However, full porcelaincrownsin serviceare at constant risk ofporcelainseparation, fracture or ditching due to various reasons. In these cases, otherparts of he crownusually remain intact. Two solutions are available under these circumstances: Replacement of the full porcelain crown which is expensive and time-consuming orrepairing the crown in patient'smouth with composite resin. Among differenttypes of currently used dental porcelains, feldspathic porcelain has many applicationsdue toits high translucency and similarity to tooth enamel and is one of the most commonlyused porcelainsin dentistry and full ceramic crownsin particular [8]. Several methods have been recommended for composite-porcelain bonding, such as surface preparation porcelain using a coarsebur, sandblasting with aluminum oxideparticles and etching with hydrofluoric acid [9,10]. Also, application of Silane Coupling agent has been introduced as an effective measure for increasing bondstrength [11-14]. Application of hydrofluoric acidproducesporosities and dissolves the glassy phaseof ceramic. Silaneconditions the surfaceand enhances the formation of а covalentbond between ceramic and composite [15]. Laser irradiation for porcelain surface preparationis a recent techniqueforachieving a higher quality bond between the porcelain and composite. Different types of lasers are used in dentistry [16]. Akovaet al. showed that CO₂ laser irra-(Super Pulse, 2W, diation 20 seconds) providedsufficientbond of brackets to feldspathic porcelain and silane applicationincreased the bond stability [17]. Akyilet al. reported that application of9.5% hydrofluoric acid created the highest bondstrength to feldspathic porcelain. Also, application of acid and lasertogetherincreasedthe bondstrength, but this strengthwas lower thanthat acidconditioningalone of [18]. Ferreira et al.demonstrated that Er: YAG laser application caused the highest bond strengthfollowed by acid and Nd:YAG laser application; however, the dif-

July 2013; Vol. 25, No. 2

ferences between these three groups were notsignificant. They concluded that Nd:YAG and Er:YAG laser can beused instead of acid for porcelain surfacepreparation [19]. The obtained controversial results indicate thatporcelain surface preparation with laser needsto be further investigated. The goal of this study was to determine the shear bond strengthofcomposite to feldspathic porcelain following porcelain surface preparation with CO_2 and Er:YAG lasers.

Materials and Methods

This laboratory, experimental study was conducted on 48 porcelain blocks.

Preparation of porcelain blocks:

Porcelain blocks measuring $1 \times 10 \times 10$ mm werefabricated by using EX3 feldspathic porcelain (Noritake, Japan) infurnace (P30, Ivoclar, Liechtenstein, Switzerland) at 930°C for 15 minutes.

Laser irradiation:

A) Pilot study: Since the majority of studies onporcelain surface preparation are implemented on Zirconium, at first two different powers of CO₂ and Er:YAG (Deka, Italy) laserswere tested on 12 feldspathic porcelain blocksand the SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) results wereexamined by three experts as single blind to find out which Co₂and Er:YAG laser powers have the greatest effect on feldspathic porcelain for use in this study. Prior tolaser irradiation, surface glaze of feldspathic porcelain was removed by composite polishingbur 850.016 (Tizkavan, Tehran, Iran). In Er:YAG laser group, Er:YAG laser withawavelengthof 2940 nanometer at two different powersalong with Graphitepowder (Sosmar, Iran) was used [19]. Porcelain surface was coated with graphite powder prior to laser irradiation. Non-contact mode Er:YAG laser with 4 and 5 W power,10Hzfrequency, 400 mJenergy, 450 microsecond pulse duration, 0.5 J/mm2 energy density, 5mm distance, 15 secondsexposure time and 1mm beam diameter was irradiated. In Co₂ laser group, first laserwith 10600 nanometer wavelength, 1.8 Wattpower, 1 mmbeam diameter, 10Hz frequency, 5 millisecond pulse duration and 0.01 J/mm² energy atfocal densitywith surface distancewas irradiated for 15 seconds and then CO2 laser with 2.4 Wpower, 10600 nanometer wavelength, 20Hz frequency, 2.5 millisecond pulse duration, 0.008

J/mm2energy density and 1mm beamdiameter with surface at focal distance was radiated for 15 seconds.

B) Main study: After selectingthepowerforeach laser, 12 porcelain blocksin group 1 and 12 porcelain blocksingroup 2 received CO2 and Er:YAG laser irradiationforsurfacepreparation, respectively. Porcelain etching:

Surfaceglazeof 12 porcelain blocks in group 3wasremoved by composite polishing bur 850.016 and 9.5% hydrofluoric acid (Basico, USA) wasapplied to the surface, remained for two minutes, rinsed for 15 seconds and air dried for 5 seconds.

SEM:

Acid and laser-treated specimensweregold coated by Gold- Palladiumalloyusing Sputter Coater Apparatus model SC7620 (Leo, Germany) and examined by Scanning Electron Microscope model 1450 VP (Leo, Germany) set at20 kV acceleration voltage, 3 nanometer resolutionand 1000 xmagnification.

Application of bonding agent and composite resin:First, athin layer of silanewasappliedtoallsamples, air dried for 30 seconds with air spray, and thenScotch bond multipurpose adhesive (3M, USA) from the third bottle was used. The adhesivewas light cured from 1mmdistance for 20 secondsbythelight-curing unit (Astralis 7, Vivadent, Liechtenstein, Switzerland) with 450 mW/ Cm² intensity. In order to fabricate acomposite cylinderto be bonded toporcelain surface, atransparent cylinder with 2 mminternal diameter and 4mm heightand composite Z₂₅₀ (3M, USA) with A2 shadewereused. With a suitable handinstrument, the compositewasapplied to the transparent cylinder. The cylinder was then perpendicularly placed in he center of the porcelain surfaceand light curedat1mm distance from the top and both sides for 20 seconds each. For measuring the shear bond strength, Universal Testing Machine was used with a cross-head speed of1mm/minute,preload of 2N and 2 kNload cell. The samples were subjected to load until failure. A computer and a data acquisition system recorded the data.Fracture modes werestudiedby astereomicroscope (SZ 40, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with 40X magnification and were categorized as cohesive (in composite or porcelain), adhesive

(at the composite-porcelain interface) and mixed (a combination of both). The obtained data were analyzed usingSPSS version 13.0 software. One-way ANOVA was applied and pair-wise comparisonof groups for shear bond strength was carried out using Tukey's HSD test. (P<0.05) was considered statistically significant.

Results

A) Result of the pilot study:

The SEM results revealed that CO_2 laser with 1.8 Wpower and Er:YAG laser with 5 Wpowercaused minor cracks and the highest surface roughnesson felds pathic porcelain (Figure, 1-3).



Fig 1: Porcelain surface prepared with CO₂laser: A) 1.8 Watt and B) 2.4 Watt



Fig 2: Porcelain surface prepared with Er:YAGlaser: A) 4 W and B) 5 W



Fig 3: Porcelain surfaceprepared with hydrofluoric acid

B) The results of main study:

Mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values of shear bond strength (MPa) in 3 studygroups are shown in Table 1. In order to ensure the normal distribution of samples, One Sample Kolmogorov Smirnov testand for statistical analysis, univariate ANOVA were applied and revealed significant differences in meanshear bond strength of different understudy groups (P<0.001). The resultsof Tukey's HSD test demonstrated significantly highershear bond strength in acidtreated group compared to the laser groups (P<0.001). However, no statistically significant differences were noted betweenthe laser groups (1 and 2) (P=0.778). The frequency of various modes of failure is presented in Table 2.

Group	Number	Minimum	Maximum	Mean± SD
1	12	7/11	15/94	13/03±2/57
2	12	6/49	15/65	$12/02\pm 3/4$
3	12	11/63	24/91	19/23±4/62

Table 1: Porcelain surfaceprepared with hydrofluoric acid

 Table 2: Frequency distribution of different failure modes in understudy groups

Failure type	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Total
Adhesive	8	10	3	21
Cohesive	0	0	5	5
Mixed	4	2	4	10

Discussion

At present, use of laser in dentistry is becoming increasingly popular and it is necessary to clarify its different clinical applications. On the other hand, demand forfull porcelain crownsis increasing because oftheir high translucency and excellentbiocompatibility [3, 8]. Full porcelain crowns may undergo fracture or chipping during their clinical use; in these cases we mayuse composite resins to repair the porcelain.In order to achieve a strong bond between the composite and porcelain, several techniques are used for porcelain surface preparation.Limited number of studies have evaluated porcelain surface preparation with laser vielding different results. In this study, 1.8 and 2.4 W powers of CO₂ laser and 4 and 5 Wpowersof Er:YAGlaser were selected and then SEM was used to find out the optimal power with the best effect on porcelain. Studieshave shownthat lower powers of Er:YAGlaser do not have an adequate effect on porcelain surface and are not able to create optimal surface roughness [18,19]. The conven-

July 2013; Vol. 25, No. 2

tional graphite causedgreaterabsorption of Er:YAG laser by the porcelain surface [19].

In this study,after porcelain surface preparation with acid or laser, silane wasapplied to enhance the bond betweencomposite and porcelain. Shear bond strength of composite to feldspathic porcelain following porcelain surface preparation with hydrofluoric acid was greater than the rate following porcelain surface preparation with CO₂ and Er:YAG lasers. Due to the dissolution of minerals by hydrofluoric acid, porosities are formed ontheporcelain surface causing micromechanicalretention; while CO_2 and Er:YAG laser screateporcelain surface roughness but are not able to dissolve the mineral contentyielding low or nomicromechanical retention.

Akovaet al, in their study on porcelain surface preparation with CO₂ laser for bonding of brackets to feldspathic porcelain in ceramic-metal crowns concluded that CO₂ laser canprovide sufficient bond between bracket and feldspathic porcelain of ceramic-metal crowns. Akyilet al.evaluated theshear bond strengthof composite resin to feldspathic porcelainafter porcelain surface treatment withlaser and acid etching and concluded that preparation with hydrofluoric acid createdhigher bond strength than preparation with Er:YAGand Nd:YAG lasers. Ferreiaet al.studied theshear bond strengthof resin cement to feldspathic porcelain preparation following porcelain by sandblastingwithaluminum oxide particlesand Er:YAG and Nd:YAGlasers and demonstrated that the efficacy of porcelainsurface preparationwith Er:YAG and Nd:YAG laserswas similar to that of hydrofluoric acid providing optimalshear bond strength of resin cement to feldspathic porcelain. However, different results were obtained in our study. This differencemay be attributed to different laboratory conditions, use of hydroxyapatiteprior toEr:YAG laser irradiation and application of different bondingagents and composite resins.

The mode offailure was adhesive or mixed in CO_2 and Er:YAG laser groupsand the cohesive failure was not observed. In hydrofluoric acidgroup, the observed mode of failure was of cohesive type in the composite resin. The adhesive mode of failure is due to the weak bond between the porcelain surface prepared with laser and composite resin. In laser groups, failures were mostly of adhesive or mixed types at the resin-porcelain interface. In hydrofluoric acid group, the majority of observed failures were of cohesive type attributed to the higher bond strength of composite to feldspathic porcelain.

Conclusion

Based on the obtained results, preparation of feldspathic porcelain surface with hydrofluoric acid is more effective than Er:YAG and CO_2 laserapplication for achieving a suitable bond strength between composite resin and feldspathic porcelain. Role of other laser parametersneeds to be illuminated in future studies to further improve the efficacy of laser for porcelain surface treatment.

REFERENCES

1- Kerns LL, Silveira AM, Kerns DG, Regennitter FJ. Esthetic preference of the frontal and profile views of the same smile. J Esthet Dent. 1997Mar; 9(2):76-85.

2- Spear F, Holloway J. Which all-ceramic system is optimal for anterior esthetics? J Am Dent Assoc. 2008Sep; 139 Suppl: 19S-24S.

3- Kussano CM, Bonfante G, Batista JG, Pinto JH. Evaluation of shear bond strength of composite to porcelain according to surface treatment. Brazil Den J. 2003Oct; 14(2):57-65.

4- Kelsey WP, Latta MA, Stanislav CM, Shaddy RS. Comparison of composite resin-to-porcelain bond strength with three adhesives. Gen Dent. 2000Jul-Aug; 48(4):418-21.

5- Ozcan M, Niedermeier W. Clinical study on the reasons for and location of failures of metal-ceramic restorations and survival of repairs. Int J Prosthodont. 2002 May-Jun; 15(3):299-302.

6- Noel LG, Michell WC. Porcelain veneer repair of prostheses. Gen Dent. 1997 Mar-Apr; 45(8): 182-5.

7- Horn HR. Porcelain laminate veneers bonded to etched enamel. Dent Clin North Am. 1983 Oct; 27 (4):671-84.

8- Fabianelli A, Pollington S, Papacchini F, Goracci C, Cantoro A, Ferrari M, etal. The effect of different surface treatments on bond strength between leucite reinforced feldspathic ceramic and composite resin. J Dent. 2010 Jan; 38(4):39-43.

9- Suliman AH, Swift EJ Jr, Perdigao J. Effects of surface treatment and bonding agent on bond strength of composite resin to porcelain. J Prosthet Dent. 1993Aug; 70(2):118-120.

10- Diaz-Arnold AM, Schneider RL, Aquilino SA. Bond strengths of intraoral porcelain repair materials. J prosthet Dent. 1989Mar; 61(4):305-9.

11- Ozcan M, Valandro LF, Amaral R, Leite F, Bottino MA. Bond strength durability of a resin composite on a reinforced ceramic using various repair systems. Dent Mater 2009 Dec; 25(2):1477-83.

12- Matinlinna JP, Lassila LV, Ozcan M, Yli-Urpo A, Vallittu PK. An introduction to silanes and their chinical applications in dentistry. Int J Prosthodont. 2004Mar-Apr; 14(7):155-67.

13- Roulet JF, Söderholm KJ, Longmate J. Effect of treatment and storage conditions on ceramic/ composite bond strength. J Dent Res. 2002Jan; 14(5):80-92.

14- Blatz MB, Sadam A, Glatz U. The effect of silica coating on resin bond to intaglio surface of procera all ceram restorations. Quintessence Int. 2003 Jul-Aug; 34(5):542-547.

15- Al Edris A, Al Jabr A, Cooley RL, Barghi N. SEM evaluation of etch patterns by three etchants an three porcelain. Dent Mater. 1990 Dec; 64(7):734-9.

16- Chen JR, Oka K, Kawano T, Goto T, Ichikawa T. Carbon dioxide laser application enhances the effect of silane primer on the shear bond strength between porcelain and composite resin. Dent Mater J. 2010Nov; 200(6):731-7.

17- Akova T, Yoldas O, Toroglu MS, Uysal H. Porcelain surface treatment by laser bracket- porcelain bonding. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2005Nov; 128(5): 630-7.

18- Akyil MS, Yilmaz A, Karaalioğlu OF, Duymuş ZY. Shear bond strength of repair composite resin to an acid-etched and a laser – irradiated feldspathic ceramic surface. Photomed Laser Surg. 2010 Aug; 24 (8):539-545.

19- Da Silva Ferreira S, Hanashiro FS, De Souza-Zaroni WC, Turbino ML, Youssef MN. Influence of aluminum oxide sandblasting associated with Nd: YAG or Er:YAG lasers on shear bond strength of a feldspathic ceramic to resin cement. Photomed Laser Surg. 2010Aug; 24(8):471-5.